

*Due Monday, April 7.*

1. Prove that if  $A, B \subseteq \Sigma^*$  are both Turing decidable languages, then the intersection  $A \cap B$  is also a decidable language.

2. Let  $D \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be a decidable language. Prove that

$$C = \{x \in \Sigma^* : \text{there exists } y \in \Sigma^* \text{ such that } xy \in D\}$$

is recognizable. Hint: Given a string  $x \in \Sigma^*$ , describe an algorithm you could implement using a Turing machine that decides  $D$  to determine if  $x \in C$ .

3. Use Rice's theorem to prove that the property  $\text{EMPTY} = \{\langle M \rangle : L(M) = \emptyset\}$  is undecidable. That is, prove that there is no algorithm to decide whether a Turing machine accepts no strings.

4. A subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is *decidable* if there is a computable function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that  $f(n) = 1$  if and only if  $n \in S$ . Give an informal argument to explain the following fact: A subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is decidable if and only if there is a computer program that prints the elements of  $S$  *in increasing order*. Hint: Since the fact is an if-and-only-if statement, you'll have to explain both directions.

5. Let  $L$  be a Turing recognizable language that consists of binary descriptions of Turing machines

$$L = \{\langle D_0 \rangle, \langle D_1 \rangle, \langle D_2 \rangle, \dots\},$$

where every  $D_i$  is a decider (assume that every  $D_i$  has input alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ ). Prove that there is a decidable language in  $\{0, 1\}^*$  that is not decided by any of the deciders  $D_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hint: Use a diagonalization argument on the strings in  $\{0, 1\}^*$  to construct a new Turing machine  $N$  which decides a language  $L(N)$  that is different from any of the languages  $L(D_i)$ .